



EUROPEAN UNION

GOVERNMENT OF ROMANIA  
MINISTRY OF LABOUR, FAMILY  
AND SOCIAL PROTECTION  
MASOPHRDEuropean Social Fund  
SOPHRD 2007-2013Structural Funds  
2007-2013

DOSOPHRD

EXECUTIVE AGENCY FOR  
HIGHER-EDUCATION, RESEARCH  
DEVELOPMENT AND  
INNOVATION FUNDING

## Evaluation report for habilitation thesis – Online assessment

Nr.	Criteria	Score
1	Scientific quality of the candidate	
2	Quality of the habilitation thesis and research originality	
3	Scientific independence of the candidate	
4	Quality of the professional development plan	

### Scientific quality of the candidate:

- 4 - Exceptional: at least 2.5 times over the minimal standards, international prizes, lecture invited at leading conferences and famous academic and research institutions etc.
- 3 - Very good: at least 2 times over the minimal standards, lecture invited at leading conferences and famous academic and research institutions, editor at ISI Journals.
- 2 - Good: at least 1.5 times over the minimal standards, with international recognition elements (referent at ISI Journals).
- 1 - Satisfactory: limited minimal standards, with some elements of international recognition (referent at ISI Journals)
- 0 - Unsatisfactory: limited minimal standards, without international recognition elements.

### Quality of the habilitation thesis and research originality:

- 4 - Exceptional: scientific content with high international level novelty, consistent presentation with clear evidence of the research objectives development during the publication.
- 3 - Very good: scientific content with cleared elements of international level novelty, consistent presentation with clear evidence of the research objectives development during the publication.
- 2 - Good: scientific content with some elements of international level novelty, appropriate presentation mentioning the research objectives development during the publication.
- 1 - Satisfactory: scientific international level content, presentations of the research objective development during the presentation.
- 0 - Unsatisfactory: absence of international scientific level

### Scientific independence of the candidate:

- 4 - Exceptional: leaded international projects as a project manager / responsible Romanian partner, including individual projects (Humboldt, DAAD, NATO, Fulbright, Marie Curie etc.)
- 3 - Very good: participant at international projects;
- 2 - Good: director/responsible partner in national projects won through competitive
- 1 - Satisfactory: member in national projects won through competitive
- 0 - Unsatisfactory: did not participate in research projects.

EUROPEAN SOCIAL FUND

Investing in  
**PEOPLE**Quality Assurance in HE  
through Habilitation and Auditing



EUROPEAN UNION



GOVERNMENT OF ROMANIA  
MINISTRY OF LABOUR, FAMILY  
AND SOCIAL PROTECTION  
MASOPHRD



European Social Fund  
SOPHRD 2007-2013



Structural Funds  
2007-2013



DOSOPHRD



EXECUTIVE AGENCY FOR  
HIGHER-EDUCATION, RESEARCH  
DEVELOPMENT AND  
INNOVATION FUNDING

### Quality development Plan:

- 4 - exceptional: high degree of international novelty, credible and coherent presentation of the development plan
- 3 - very good: elements of international novelty, presenting a credible development plan
- 2 - good: high degree of national novelty, credible and coherent presentation of the development plan
- 1 - satisfactory: elements of national novelty, credible plan development presentation
- 0 - unsatisfactory: originality difficult to identify, lack of credibility.

**Level of acceptability for each criterion: 1 (satisfactory)**

**Minimum total score of acceptability: 8.**

## Evaluation report for habilitation thesis – Public presentation

No.	Criterion	Score
1	Presentation quality	
2	Answers quality	

### Presentation quality

- 3 - Very good: clarity in presentation, analysis and synthesis capacity, respecting the time frame
- 2 - Good: small insufficiencies in presentation, elements insufficiently substantiated
- 1 - Satisfactory: frequent vagueness in the presentation, elements not enough substantiated within the time frame
- 0 - unsatisfactory: incoherent presentation or elements that which puts in doubt the originality of the thesis.

### Answers quality

- 3 - very good: prompt and documented responses
- 2 - good: answers hesitations
- 1 - satisfactory: some unconvincing answers
- 0 - unsatisfactory: inability to respond clearly to questions.

**Level of acceptability for each criterion: 1 (satisfactory)**

**Minimum total score of acceptability: 3.**

EUROPEAN SOCIAL FUND

Investing in  
**PEOPLE**



Quality Assurance in HE  
through Habilitation and Auditing